(Spl.-H.C.A.S.,C.D.,78-e)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

APPELLATE SIDE

SECOND APPEAL NO.100/2003

Office Note, Office Memoranda of Quorum, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders

Mr. Sudin M.S. Usgaonkar, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, Advocate General with Mr. S.D.K. Malyeker, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 4.

CORAM : N.N. MHATRE, J.

DATE : JANUARY 22, 2004.

P.C.:

The dispute in this appeal is whether Matriz No.381 could be considered to be part of the partition deed. Both the Courts below have taken the view that the suit property was not part and parcel of the partition deed as this was a property which was leased to the grand-father of the plaintiffs and the defendants. There is no question of law involved in the present appeal, much less substantial question of law. Hence dismissed.

NISHITA MHATRE, J.